British Nationalist

NOVEMBER 1988

20p

FOR RACE AND NATION

1914-18 1939-45

WHAT THE HELL DID THEY DIE FOR?

THIS MONTH, Remembrance Day falls in Britain. At war memorials all over the country the nation will be paying its respects to those who gave their lives in two world wars in order that Britain might live.

70 years after the end of the first of these two wars and 43 years after the end of the second, we are entitled to ask: what the hell did these brave men die for?

For the Britain for which they fought has been very nearly destroyed — destroyed by the pathetic political leaders with whom we have been afflicted in the years of peace. Consider these things:-

● Our war heroes fought, and many died, so that Britain might be free — free from foreign domination. Today we are edging closer and closer to foreign domination by means of our immersion in the European Common Market, which frames many of our laws, dictates our trading policies and makes ever increasing encroachments on our powers of government. Despite everything that Mrs. Thatcher may say to the contrary, this is going to intensify as we enter the 1990s.

● Our war heroes fought, and many died, to save this country from foreign invasion. Today we have been subjected to an invasion far more foreign that any we might have suffered had we lost either of the two world wars. Millions of Afro-Caribbeans and Asians and others have flooded into our land, while millions more are being born in our maternity wards. We have suffered massive civil unrest as a result of this invasion. Yet the politicians will do nothing whatever to stop it, least of all reverse it.

● We are told that our war heroes fought, and many died, in order to preserve our democratic institutions: freedom of speech, freedom of assembly and freedom of the press. Yet today these freedoms are largely denied to those who challenge the state policies of multi-racialism mentioned above. Laws have been enacted, and enforced, to prevent people speaking out against the turning of parts of Britain over to the surplus populations of the West Indies, Asia and Africa. Patriotic organisations are denied, on order of local authorities, the right to demonstrate in public and to hire meeting halls to make their case known to the people. Those who wish to maintain a white Britain, free from foreign domination, are denied a hearing in the newspapers or on radio or television.

And what of the 'land fit for heroes' that the politicians promised those returning from the battlefields in 1918? Many Britons still live in rotting slums. Between two and three million are without hope of work. Crime of every kind is rocketing, and women and old folk dare not venture out into the streets for fear of violent attack. In addition to this, the politicians have lost us an empire which many died to defend. Those who came from the outer corners of that Empire and fought for us in both great wars have been betrayed — by Britain's entry into the Common Market and her discrimination against their exports to this country.

And now Britain is in the process of betraying loyal Ulster, who gave many of her finest men in World War I and World War II so that this nation might survive. The Anglo-Irish Agreement has been drawn up with the obvious purpose of selling out loyal Ulster to the Irish Republic. Meanwhile, the government's response to the men of terror who try to bomb and gun Ulster out of the Kingdom is the response of a jellyfish. Murderers who should be hanged are sent to comfortable places of detention, where they are well fed and cared for at the British people's expense.

We believe that this is not the country that our war heroes died for in 1914-18 and 1939-45. We believe that they died for a country entirely different to that which has been shaped by today's and yesterday's political leaders.

We of the British National Party are working to wrest this country from these betrayers and then ourselves to build a country worthy of the sacrifice of those men who died in the two world wars and whose memory we salute on the 13th of this month.

This immense task will not be accomplished overnight. It will take many years of struggle against the forces of treason and treachery which now rule Britain.

But we are resolved that this struggle will be won in the end. Join us — and help us win it!

British Nationalist

No. 84 NOVEMBER 1988
Editor: John Morse

THE COLOUR IS YELLOW

FOLLOWING the wave of murders launched against our troops by the IRA during the summer both in Ulster and on the mainland, the Prime Minister and her Ulster Secretary Tom King felt it necessary to make some public noises about introducing "tough new security measures." In their naivity, some of our fellow Britons may have thought that at last the government was actually on the point of taking off the kid gloves and pitching into the republican gangsters in the way that they deserve.

There may even have been some who hoped that the SAS would be given its head to deal with the whole IRA organisation in the same fine style as, a while back, they dealt with one republican terror gang in Gibraltar.

In other words, many hoped, and some perhaps even believed, that our leaders were about to turn over a whole new leaf, and, for the first time ever, start fighting some of Britain's real enemies.

But now that Mrs. Thatcher, Mr. King and Home Secretary Hurd have finally come up with their proposals it turns out, as might have been expected, that they are running true to form. The mountain has given birth to a mouse!

After all the build-up, the government's "tough new measures" consist of nothing more than banning IRA members and their Sinn Fein backers from direct access to radio and television, and of Mr. King's taking away the 'right of silence' from republican terrorists arrested and interrogated by police. Many Britons may be left wondering at the fact that declared enemies of this nation, like the IRA and Sinn Fein, should ever have enjoyed such 'rights' in the first place.

But indeed these latest government moves mean very little anyway. Although people like Gerry Adams may not now be directly quoted or interviewed, their statements can still be reported and their pro-IRA propaganda got across. As for the ending of the terrorist's 'right of silence', that does not mean that he or she can be COMPELLED to make statements to police. All it means is that silence in custody may, for the first time, be used against such a terrorist in a court as a possible indication of guilt. Big deal!

This is no substitute whatever for empowering our security forces to put known terrorists six feet under, which is where they belong.

In Ulster, Britain is at war. The loyal Ulster folk are British people at war. The aim should be to destroy their enemies and ours.

But the truth is that Mrs. Thatcher has no more guts than her predecessors when it comes to recognising these simple facts. These latest fatuous measures of her government are typical of the kinds of substitutes for REAL action that she has taken the last nine years fobbing the British people off with whenever a national crisis of any kind has arisen.

Meanwhile, neither the IRA nor Britain's other enemies are deceived. They detect the weakness and lack of real will to defend the British national interest that is evident in Mrs. Thatcher and her colleagues. The government, again, has shown itself in its true colour: YELLOW!

'EVERYTHING IN THE GARDEN IS LOVELY!'

THE EUPHORIA
Mrs. Thatcher, with trlumphal expression, acknowledges the applause of an enraptured Tory crowd at Brighton

Tories at Brighton engage in orgy of self-congratulation while Britain sinks ever more deeply into chaos and ruin

WHEN SET against the accelerating national decay in front of our eyes, over which the Tories have been presiding now for nearly a decade, the exhibition of smug self-congratulation that they saw fit to mount at their Brighton conference last month can be seen as a grotesque public hoax.

From the triumphal posturings of Mrs. Thatcher and her colleagues on the platform at the conference, anyone would think that Britain was riding high among the nations and had barely a problem in the world. There was hardly a hint of the industrial dereliction, collapsing public order, race riots and nightmare inner cities that have become the brutal reality of Britain under Tory rule, as must be clear to anyone with half his senses intact.

One journalistic hack, writing for a Fleet Street Tory newspaper, even went so far as to claim that Britain's long decline had been reversed under Mrs. Thatcher's premiership!

The truth is that Mrs. Thatcher and the Tories have never so much as addressed themselves to a single one of the deep-seated problems that **really** lie at the root of Britain's 20th century deterioration — let alone come up with any solutions. This fact is in no way altered by the noise and fury of the Tory Party's publicity machine in its quest to portray Maggie as some sort of 'champion' of Britain's national interests — the 'Iron Lady' of Tory fantasy.

Indeed, if anything, this constant high-pressure publicity hype is a smokescreen, concealing from a gullible British electorate the fact that our country has sunk lower under Mrs. Thatcher's leadership than even it did under previous disastrous governments, Tory and Labour.

THE LIE OF ECONOMIC 'RECOVERY'

The great Thatcher hoax reached new levels of falsity at Brighton. To begin with, there was Mrs. Thatcher's proudest boast that, under her, Britain had achieved an "economic recovery." No doubt some of those who vote Tory do now have more money in their pockets than previously. In the South East, for example, there is an artificially high standard of living as compared with other parts of the country — at least for the time being. Numerous City of London yuppies and their like have also been making a fast buck from financial speculation — including the sale, mostly to foreigners, of as many of Britain's surviving industrial assets as they can lay their hands on.

But this accumulation of large sums of money in the hands of private individuals and interests in no way amounts to any such thing as a national 'economic recovery, — especially when so much of this private wealth has been gained from activities which not only do not benefit the nation but actually do it positive harm. For a start, the sale of national assets to alien interests is the sale of Britain's future; its effect will be to hand the power of decision over all future investment and employment in Britain to foreigners, who are not likely to care a damn for the welfare of our people if this conflicts with their own aims. This short-term binge has been encouraged by Mrs. Thatcher's government for no better purpose than to create a momentary illusion of prosperity calculated to help the Tories win last year's election.

DESTRUCTION OF MANUFACTURING

Meanwhile, the one and only hard economic **fact** of long-term importance to emerge from the years of Thatcherite Toryism has been the massive destruction of Britain's manufacturing capability. This has happened because of a flood of imported goods into this country which we could, and should, be producing ourselves. As a result, British manufacturers have been driven to the wall and millions of British workers thrown onto the dole.

Japan, from which many of these imports have come, does not allow foreigners to invade her home market in this way. On the contrary, she pursues a policy of rigorous exclusion of most foreign goods. Not only has this nationalist practice guaranteed full employment to Japanese workers, it has also made Japan one of the greatest powers in world markets — as the sheer scale of production that Japanese industry has been able to achieve at home has enabled it to reduce its output costs and undercut most competition abroad as well.

But what of Mrs. Thatcher? For all her yammering about the need for Britain to be internationally competitive, has she ever adopted a Jap-style policy for this country? Not a bit of it! In fact, she has always insisted that Britain do precisely the opposite!

DRIFT INTO ANARCHY

On every party occasion, the top Tories are always able to rely on a bit of tub-thumping about 'law and order' to set their party faithful jumping up and down in the aisles. This year's jamboree at Brighton was no exception. Mrs. Thatcher and her Home Secretary Douglas Hurd took the lead in demanding heavier penalties to counter exploding crime.

But there was a more basic question they failed to tackle: if there is more crime in Britain today, necessitating the kind of harsher punishments which they are only now getting around to talking about, who has been to blame for this in the first place?

Perhaps Mrs. Thatcher and Mr. Hurd hoped that, in the excitement of the moment, their conference audience, and the millions watching them on TV, would forget that they were not just a couple of private individuals with the right to indulge in the luxury of self-righteous breast-beating over the level of crime in this country today; they were in fact the two public officials who, above anyone else, are responsible for law and order in this country — as they have been for some years.

The state of law and order in the country is in fact **their** baby. The buck stops with **them**, not with the judges and the courts. It is **they** who have presided over the growing climate of anarchy and lawlessness; it is **their** government which has spent nearly ten years preaching uncontrolled material selfishness as a creed; and it is Mrs. Thatcher herself who has said that there is no such thing as society, only individuals. In fact, by encouraging these ideas the Prime Minister is in effect telling us that there is no place for any spirit of **national community** among our people, nor for the patriotic idealism which, growing out of this spirit, is every community's first line of defence against lawless behaviour among its citizens. Small wonder that every anti-social element and every delinquent, especially among Britain's youth, now regard themselves as having the green light to "do their own thing." In such a climate, is it any wonder that order breaks down?

CAPITULATION TO RIOTERS

Let us not forget, too, that it was Mrs. Thatcher who presided over what is possibly the biggest factor of all in the breakdown of law and order: Britain's first major race riots. It was Mrs. Thatcher who, in the aftermath of one of these riots (in Brixton) commissioned the Scarman Report and allowed its recommendations for pussyfooting methods of law-enforcement in 'racially sensitive' areas to be imposed on Britain's police — with the result that control of the streets in many such areas has almost been handed on a plate to criminal elements. It might be added that it is hard to see what use Mrs. Thatcher's 'harsher penalties' are likely to be in the case of those ethnic minority criminals who are able to evade arrest by hiding away in the kind of ghetto suburbs which Mrs. Thatcher's government has allowed to become virtual 'no-go' areas for the law.

But of course on the whole subject of race and immigration the Tory conference was as silent as the grave. No-one would have suspected that this is a matter of the deepest public concern, affecting the daily lives of millions of ordinary Britons. It may be that Mrs. Thatcher felt that to allow such a subject on the conference agenda might mar the triumphal atmosphere of the proceedings!

HUMBUG ON THE COMMON MARKET

In order to be seen banging some sort of patriotic-sounding drum, the Prime Minister seems rather to have concentrated on vaunting her opposition to Britain's full integration into the EEC. In fact, as Mrs. Thatcher must know full well, the European Community, together with all its rules, regulations and Brussels bureaucracy, was specifically designed, from the very first, to destroy independent nationhood in Europe. The President of the EEC Commission, Jacques Delors, has said quite openly that by 1992 80 per-cent of all the legislation going through the parliaments of member states, including Britain, will be of Brussels origin. Moreover, in pushing for full European integration under supra-national institutions, Delors has the backing of most other national premiers in the EEC.

The only possible way of protecting British national sovereignty is to get Britain **out** of the EEC altogrether. But this is something that Mrs. Thatcher will not consider for a moment.

NO CREDIBILITY

All in all, what credibility do Mrs. Thatcher and her government really have?

After the Prime Minister's final speech at Brighton, she was given the usual standing ovation. As the members of her Cabinet sharing the platform with her bobbed up and down obediently at her command in response to the applause, the Tory sheep could be heard bleating in unison from the floor for "ten more years" of Mrs. Thatcher's government.

But behind the zoological razzamatazz of the Tory Party conference, the truth is that ten years of Thatcherism have dragged our country down towards the status of a de-industrialised and demoralised Third World slum — shortly to lose its identity in an alien-controlled European power bloc. Britain cannot afford another ten years of this.

Our country is faced with a vast burden of problems, many of them the legacy of Mrs. Thatcher's decade as Premier. To survive and overcome these problems, we will require an altogether new order of leadership, as remote from that of Thatcher as from those of the miserable dwarfs of Labour and the other parliamentary parties of opposition. To provide this is our task in the final years of the present century.

THE REALITY
A Britain sinking into industrial dereliction and communal strife

CECIL PAYING HIS DUES?

PICTURED HERE with yarmulka (Jewish ritual skull-cap) on his head is Tory bigwig and long-time Thatcher favourite Cecil Parkinson, MP, currently Secretary of State for Energy.



ETHNIC GROVELLER Parkinson dons skull cap to meet his constituents

It seems that Cecil has just set a new precedent. He is believed to be the first member of parliament to set aside a weekly consultation period (or 'surgery', as it is best known) exclusively for his Jewish constituents, who may meet him there in person to discuss their problems.

All MPs hold surgeries, of course. But it appears that the idea of holding one for Jews only, and in a local synagogue, is unique to Cecil.

This is not of course the first precedent that Cecil has established. Another one, some five years ago, when he was Secretary for Trade and Industry, Chairman of the Tory Party and Mrs. Thatcher's right-hand man, involved his secretary Miss Sara Keays.

This, however, was not the sort of matter that Cecil was so keen to have publicised, whether to his government colleagues, the Tory Party, his constituents or the public at large. Miss Keays fell pregnant and Cecil had to admit ruefully that he was the man responsible.

Only after Miss Keays had raised quite a public scene over the whole business, and the Fleet Street tabloids had regaled their readers with many a juicy headline, was Cecil prevailed upon to resign his public office.

Now, far be it from us to play the puritan preacher over people's private peccadillos. But when it is a case of one of the most powerful Ministers of the Crown acting the part of an irresponsible teenager the matter ceases to be private.

Quite simply, a man who does such things when he has so responsible a position, and when, in addition to his obligations to his colleagues and the general public, he has responsibilities as a family man to both wife and children, must be weak. In fact, Parkinson's weakness of character must be such as rightly to bar him from public office for good.

For who can say how such weakness might next express itself, and how it might potentially affect the whole nation if he is allowed again to occupy a senior public office, as he has done?

It would have been the best thing, from the nation's point of view, if Cecil had simply retired into private life. But Cecil was not content to do the decent thing.

No, he had to hang around the fringes of Mrs. Thatcher's circle, more or less importuning her for reinstatement. And some time ago, disgracefully, she obliged him, taking him back into her government, to occupy his present position.

But is there, we ask, perhaps more to it than this?

We have referred to Mr. Parkinson's seeming unprincipled weakness which, coupled with his obvious ambition, might lead him — and us! — in who knows what direction. His boss, Mrs. Thatcher, owes a very heavy debt for her own advancement up the British politicai ladder to her Zionist connection. In that quarter lies the potential source of very fast promotion for the ambitious individual prepared to pay the price. Mrs. Thatcher is not the first to have paid that price — and then passed it on to the British Nation. She will not be the last.

Therefore, it is no surprise to see her sidekick Cecil on his hind legs like a performing poodle before the same audience, yarmulka on his skull. Going on past form, we doubt if there is anything, including this kind of ethnic grovelling and pandering, that cause such a hollow man any shame at all if his masters and mistress gave him the political bon-bons he appears to be after at the end of the performance.

Is the performance itself, in fact, perhaps the pay-off for services already rendered in the course of putting Cecil's career back on the road?

Is Cecil, in a manner of speaking, just paying his dues?

Britain's EEC chickens coming home to roost

NEW ALIEN FLOOD THREATENS EUROPE

WHEN the European Economic Community was first formed, the pretext for its establishment was that the political unification of Europe, under supra-national institutions, was essential to prevent any more wars between the European nations. The further impression that the people of Britain and the rest of Europe were clearly intended to get from all the propaganda to which they were subjected on this theme was that the interests of all the nations of Europe would, both individualy and collectively, be best served by forming the continent into one single bloc.

Whilst firmly agreeing with the proposition that the nations of Europe should never again go to war with each other, and also that, *vis-a-vis* the world outside our own continent, our nations have wide areas of common interest, we Nationalists have never regarded the subjugation of Britain under supra-national institutions within the EEC as the correct means of securing the common good of our own and the other countries of the continent.

It is interesting to note in this regard that the politicians and public figures who have been telling us for so long that we now have to sink our national sovereignty in the united Europe of the EEC are representatives of the same 'liberal-democratic' ruling establishment which was insisting in 1939-45 that we had to go to war with another great European nation in order to **save** our nation's, and other nations', national sovereignty!

NO GAIN FROM MEMBERSHIP

Now that the western part of the European continent is at an advanced stage of being formed into a single bloc, with Britain included in the formation, what do we find? This country, together with the other countries of Western Europe, has had decision-making powers, even over internal affairs, taken away and centralised in the hands of the EEC bureaucracy. Do we find that this budding Euro-dictatorship furthers our own interests, including the large number of interests that we have in common with other European nations?

Not a hope!

Instead, we find that membership of the EEC has involved Britain in commitments and demands against her which have, up till now, never been spelt out by any government, Tory or Labour, before or during the 15 years that have now elapsed since Edward Heath's Conservative government dragooned our country into Europe by signing the Treaty of Rome. As an example of just such a commitment, it now seems that membership of the EEC is not even going to be restricted purely to European nations, or nations elsewhere that are racially European.

NEW 'EUROPEANS'

At the very moment when the partisans of so-called 'European Unity' are trumpeting their triumph at the forthcoming establishment of the 'Single European Market' in 1992, it has suddenly been announced that **Morocco** and **Turkey** may very soon be admitted to what is still being referred to as the **'European'** Community!

It must be remembered that under the 'single market' arrangements EEC member states will lose their last remaining rights to erect trade barriers in order to protect their home industries. This will naturally tend to mean the freer flow of both capital and labour, with corresponding movements of population, between member nations.

In the case of the Turks it is being hinted that it is none other than our own Prime Minister, Mrs. Thatcher, with whom they are said to have developed a 'close relationship', who is to exercise the influence in EEC councils necessary to smooth the way for Turkish entry. This is in spite of Mrs. Thatcher's repeated public posturing as some sort of 'champion' of Britain's national interests against Euro-tyranny and all its works, and also, to boot, as the nation's supposed guardian against its being 'swamped' by alien cultures!

POTENTIAL FLOOD

And swamping is precisely what Britain, along with all the other nations of Western Europe, may expect if the EEC is expanded to include countries such as Turkey and Morocco — with, no doubt, many more 'Third World' states to follow when once the precedent has been set. All available facts and figures indicate the scale of the alien invasion that will be likely to occur if such states are admitted to the EEC — with all the rights of unlimited immigration into European countries implied by EEC rules and regulations — and above all by the European 'single market'.

The first 'Third World' states likely to be given membership will be the largely Arab and Moslem states in the Middle East and North Africa. As is the case throughout Afro-Asia, these states are all undergoing massive population explosions, with the numbers of mouths to be fed constantly outrunning the capacities of their largely backward and inefficient economies to provide food for them.

Like most other 'Third World' countries too, these lands show little aptitude in solving the ever-growing problems of the poverty and chaos which have arisen as a result of their explosive birthrates. The normal state of affairs in many of them is tyranny alternating with anarchy. Currently in Algeria, for example, the incompetence of the regime in power has led to massive rioting. This has been put down partly by heavy repression and partly by promises of reform from those in power, which the latter will very likely be incapable of fulfilling — leading in turn to new outbreaks. Elsewhere, as in Lebanon, there is almost complete anarchy, compounded, as in the case of the Palestinians and other Arab peoples in its neighbourhood, by the banditry and thuggery of the neighbouring state of Israel.

Meanwhile, against this background, it is predicted that by the year 2025 the non-European populations of Turkey, the Middle East and North Africa will have grown to twice the numbers of the European countries fringing the Mediterranean. This will represent a reversal of the population proportions as they were back in 1950.

AMPLE WARNING

It is not as if Britain and other European countries have not already received an advance wave of Afro-Asian immigration, including a great number of aliens from Islamic countries in the Mediterranean. West Germany is steadily being filled up with Turks, France with Algerians and Spain with Moroccans.

But who can doubt that, once the present masters of the EEC, with the collaboration of the ruling politicians in all European countries, have extended full EEC membership to the 'Third World' states from which these migrants come, this wave of immigration will become a flood-tide? And who can doubt that Britain, whose rulers have already shown themselves so willing to turn our country into a receptacle for the surplus millions of the 'Third World', would be right in the front line of the tidal wave to follow?

This is the more so since both the rulers and peoples of these Islamic states have not been slow to get the idea that one of the EEC's functions is to pull their chestnuts out of the fire for them. Moroccan Minister for EEC Relations, Ghissous Azzedine, demands free access to European markets for his country's agricultural produce. Adopting a threatening tone of voice, he said in a recent press interview: ''You cannot turn the Mediterranean, one of the great corridors of commerce in history, into a barrier. In the past this has led to war.'' In fact, of course, if good Europeans, including Britons, had not been prepared to risk their lives, when necessary, over more than a thousand years to maintain such a barrier, then there would exist no Europe and no Britain today. This consideration apart, it might be asked: just what kind of war is Mr. Azzedine envisaging if Europe today and tomorrow sets up barriers against the countries the other side of the Mediterranean? A war in which super powers like Morocco, Algeria, Libya, Egypt and Turkey throw their massive military might against poor, weak and defenceless France, Germany and Britain?

THIRD WORLD FIFTH COLUMN IN EUROPE

As things are, it appears that the Muslim states of the Mediterranean now expect us to open our doors to their surplus population without a fight. Here they have many a traitor inside Europe to aid and abet them. Continental big business is said to be worried that declining living standards in North Africa and the Middle East, due to the population explosion, may cause their profitable export markets in the region to shrink. The head of Italy's energy authority, Franco Reviglio, proposes a $30 billion aid package, to be financed by EEC nations, in order to prop up the economies of the Islamic states. There can be little doubt that once we have been saddled with responsibility for the welfare of these states we will soon be required to start accepting migrants from them.

There can also be no doubt that such arrangements will be set in motion precisely through the machinery of the EEC. The more unchallengeable this Euro-tyranny becomes, the less we in Britain, and our fellow Whites on the Continent, will be able to resist such developments. For this, as much as for many other good reasons, Britain should get out of the EEC **now** — while she still can!

BOUND FOR EUROPE
How many more will be boarding planes and boats to come here?



E.E.C. HEADQUARTERS
Are its officials in league with Third World nations to flood Europe with millions more migrants?

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — I have always thought it a tragedy that British Nationalism has always been strongest in England, and only been able to attract the skimpiest support in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. Even in my native Cornwall there is a strong, active separatist movement with thousands of members. This is the Cornish Nationalist Party.

I think that we should campaign more in these Celtic areas, to show them that their struggle is our struggle, that we should unite in the greater struggle for the United Kingdom, that Anglo-Saxon and Celtic Whites should march together against their common enemies.

N. HEDGER
Truro, Cornwall

(EDITOR'S NOTE: Some readers, such as, possibly, Mr. Hedger, seem to be under a misapprehension that British Nationalism is weak in the Celtic areas through our choice, that we deliberately give priority to building up our movement in other parts of the country. Nothing could be further from the truth. The fact is that we do not have the resources, at present, to run campaigns in distant parts of Britain from our present London base; such campaigns as are possible, therefore, depend on available local strength and local initiative. Where there is local strength and initiative, as for instance in Glasgow, Scotland, there is BNP activity. Where there are not these things, there is no activity. It is as simple as that!)

Sir, — Reading the papers recently, I was impressed and surprised to see that Hong Kong was to send back 9,000 Vietnamese to where they came from. Of course, in the same paper it was stated that there was great opposition to the Vietnamese in this country. The paper gave us the usual sob story about how poor children would suffer if the Vietnamese were not allowed in here, etc., etc. The very next day — lo and behold, a turn around was in process. It was stated that a thousand of the Vietnamese would be coming to Britain. Of course, I know that this is just the start. A whole lot more will be following. In the same paper it said that 20,000 or more Vietnamese were here already. In addition to these, there are the 9;000 mentioned as being refused entry into Hong Kong, **and** 140,000 already in Hong Kong. I have no doubt that a great many of these, if not all of them, are going to find their way to this country — just as soon as the British public can be softened up into accepting them.

The cost of this influx is incalculable. Where, for instance, will such people be fed, housed, clothed and found jobs? The prospect is appalling.

F.W. SIMMONS
Pinner, Middlesex

ANNUAL RALLY GREAT SUCCESS

THE ANNUAL RALLY held by the British National Party in London on the 22nd October was one of the most successful so far. About 200-220 people packed into the hall to hear rousing speeches by Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, John Wood, David Bruce, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall. The proceedings was ably chaired by John Peacock and light relief from the politics of the day was provided by an impromptu comedy act by ex-professional entertainer Archie Wilson.

The biggest cheer of the afternoon came when Richard Edmonds announced that the BNP had at last obtained its long-awaited building.

David Bruce, as is customary, made the collection appeal and this raised the impressive figure of £1,749.

Apparently, the attendance would have been still larger had not some 50-60 people turned up late at the rendezvous point. In fact the official redirecting people from this point stayed until 2.30 before leaving, whilst people had been asked to arrive by 2.00. In future, people are urged to give themselves more time to get to the venue.

A full account of the rally is printed in this month's issue of *Spearhead*.

JOHN TYNDALL SPEAKS
The BNP leader spoke about the barrenness of the Thatcher society and the ruin that Thatcherism had brought upon Britain. He said that, when the backlash against Thatcher comes, the BNP must be ready to lead it

GUS McLEOD SPEAKS
The Glasgow BNP Chairman takes the platform

Building obtained at last!

AT LONG LAST — WE HAVE IT! The much-awaited building that the British National Party has been trying to obtain for several years has now been acquired. Our thanks are due to all those generous folk who have contributed money to make this possible!

Although the building has been obtained, much work has to be done on it before it can be made open to the public. While this work is being done, we are, for security reasons, not disclosing the location of the premises — except that it is somewhere in the Greater London area. It is hoped that the building will be open in a few weeks.

We have closed the original Building Fund, having raised just fractionally under the £15,000 that had been set as a target. The last leap by which we nearly reached this target was made possible by a fine collection at the recent annual rally and a large donation from Lewisham Branch of the BNP which came to to £1,200.

The new building has not been acquired in exactly the way envisaged when the fund was first launched. Then it had been our intention to buy for the party a premises in the London area with the aid of a bank loan of 50 per-cent of the price. Our enquiries about premises available, however, established that it would be some considerable time before sufficient money could be raised to purchase a premises of the size we really need. Had a premises been purchased, therefore, it would have been much smaller and with less amenities than desirable.

Fortune, however, has come to our aid and placed premises at our disposal on a rental basis on extremely favourable terms and with cast-iron security of tenure. We have taken up this option, and the money in the Building Fund is being set aside to ensure that we can meet all our financial obligations on the building for the first three or four years of our occupancy of it.

At the same time, we have now opened a new Building Fund with a target of £5,000, the purpose of which will be to pay for the various fittings and security arrangements that are going to have to be installed for the building to be safe and operate effectively. This new fund starts as from this month.

We hope that all readers will give generously so that our great new asset can begin functioning with the maximum security and effectiveness with the least possible delay.

NATIONALIST RESPONSE: Quarterly publication of South Wales BNP. Single copies 50p incl. p&p. Annual subscription £2.50. Autumn issue now available. Orders to PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the local addresses of the main units.

NORTH WEST LONDON
BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

LOUGHTON
PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 3BU

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

EAST MIDLANDS
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

WEST YORKSHIRE
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

SOUTH YORKSHIRE
PO Box 73, Doncaster DN4 6BS

CLEVELAND
PO Box 67, Middlesborough TS1 4YY

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Luton, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Burton-on-Trent, Nottingham, North Nottinghamshire, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax, Huddersfield, Wakefield, Sheffield, Darlington, Tees-side, Sunderland and Cumbria. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '87
Video-recording of the BNP national rally in London on October 31st 1987. Hear speeches by Ronald Rickcord (chairman), Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Dr. Peter Peel, Richard Edmonds, Stanley Clayton-Garnett, David Bruce and John Tyndall.
Price: £18 plus 64p p&p.

Sound Recordings

RALLY '82
Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'
Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I
Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's ecnomic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II
Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'The tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III
Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-explanatory); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

TYNDALL SPEAKS IV
Side 1: Talk on 'The way to full employment'; **Side 2:** Talk on 'The racial time-bomb' (demolition of the case for multi-racial Britain).

These recordings are produced in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 24p postage).

All orders to: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

British National Party
Remembrance Day rally
YORKSHIRE
Sun., November 13th in the afternoon
All BNP members keep in touch with their branches for details

British National Party
St. Andrew's Day rally
GLASGOW
Sat., November 19th in the afternoon
All BNP members keep in touch with their branches for details

DEWSBURY

Nationalists get black march stopped

A MARCH by a 'Black Workers' Group' through Dewsbury (Yorks) was banned after local nationalists of the BNP and NF threatened to hold a counter-march in the town. The affair attracted big publicity in the Yorkshire papers.

REDBRIDGE GROUP FORMED AGAIN

REDBRIDGE GROUP of the British National Party has been re-established after a three-year lapse into inactivity. This development is symptomatic of the new lease of life that the BNP has enjoyed in the North East London and Essex area in recent times. All local members will find this very welcome.

What We Stand For

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A government that will put British interests first
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The revival of British farming
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British support for the Whites in South Africa
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes
- The strengthening of Britain's defences
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- The smashing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media
- The elimination of communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6 (Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....

SPEARHEAD
For an in-depth view of nationalist politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.12. 64p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 20p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers order ONLY in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.00 + 52p post
25 copies £4.50 + £1.70 post
50 copies £8.00 + £2.10 post
100 copies £14.00 + £2.80 post
150 copies £20.00 + £3.00 post
200 copies £25.00 + £3.45 post
300 copies £35.00 + £4.00 post
400 copies £44.00 + £5.00 post
500 copies £53.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £103.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £4.42 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles and £6.00 (unsealed surface mail) for subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign currency cheques and POs and an additional £2 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Printed & published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS